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## Outpatient clinics: The viability of walk-in based policies

- Traditionally organized by appointments systems (Bailey 1952)
- Walk-in:
- Eliminate access times
- One stop shop
- Less delay in care pathway
- Patient centered: visit at moment of their choice
- Less involved planning process

- Problems:
- Not applicable for all patients
- Uncertainty



## Research question

- Implications walk-in:
- Peaks in congestion?
- Idleness?
- Combination?
- What is the viability of a walk-in based policies
$\Rightarrow$ What is the optimal ratio between walk-in and appointment?
$\Rightarrow$ What is the best agenda?
- Goal:

To develop a general methodology, applicable to various outpatient clinics


## Case study: CT AMC Amsterdam

Expected arrival rate for walk-in patiënts


## Walk-in based policies

- Walk-in: non-stationary behavior at day and week level

- 1. Appointments:
- 2. Walk-ins:
balance fluctuation by avoiding peaks
offer an appointment when system congested


## Result: cyclic policy

|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |



## Overview

- Principles
- Goal
- Methodology
- Numerical example



## Principles

- Patients walk-in if medically possible
- If congested, patients are offered an appointment
- Earliest appointment possibility is tomorrow
- Different arrival distributions for different days
- Balance access time \& waiting time by:
- Set access time norm for appointment patients (e.g. E[access time] < Y days)
- Given this constraint, maximize fraction of walk-ins seen directly



## Goal

- Design a methodology by which a specific outpatient clinic can decide upon its access policy, consisting of

1. Percentage of walk-in patients to divert to appointment slots: $L$
2. (a) Optimal distribution of appointment slots over period $D$ (e.g. a week):
$k_{1}, \ldots, k_{D}$
(b) Given (a), optimal appointment day schedule
which satisfies access time norm for appointment patients and minimizes $L$

## Methodology

- Model I Access process to outpatient clinic
- Model II Day process at outpatient clinic
- Algorithm Optimization combination walk-in / appointment


| Determine |
| :---: |
| app cycles |
| (Model I) |



## Model I: Access Process

- Cycle Length

D

- Daily capacity

- Daily demand (Poisson)
$\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{D}$
- Consult duration

1 slot

## Model I: Access Process

- Backlog at start of day d+1



## Model I: Access Process

- Lindley-type equation

$$
B_{d+1}=\left(B_{d}-k_{d}\right)^{+}+A_{d}
$$

- Gel $P_{P_{B_{d}}(z)=P_{A_{d+D-1}}(z) \times G^{-1}}$
- Equ

$$
\times\left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{q=0}^{k_{d+D-i}-1}\left(1-z^{\left.q-k_{d+D-i}\right) \pi_{d+D-i}(q)}\left(\prod_{r=1}^{i-1} z^{-k_{d+D-r}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} P_{A_{d+D-j-1}}(z)\right)\right]\right.
$$

- Per


## Model II: Day Process

- Time slots

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | $\mathrm{~N}-1$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:30-8:45 |  | $9: 00-9: 15$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | 16:45-17:00 |

- 2 types of patients

Appointment / Walk-in

- Consult duration

1 time slot

- Number of facilities

F

- Arrivals

Appointment according to schedule

$$
Z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N-1}\right)
$$

Walk-in according to Poisson process with rates

$$
\Gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N-1}\right)
$$

## Model II: Day Process

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | $\mathrm{~N}-1$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:30-8:45 | $9: 00-9: 15$ |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |

- Walk-in patients are willing to wait X time slots, otherwise "LEAVE"
- Appointments get priority over walk-in patients
- Calculate performance by evaluating Markov Process
- Main performance indicator

$$
L=E[\text { number of walk-in patients to not seen / treated }]
$$



## Algorithm (prelude)

- Connect model I and II
- Possibility not all appointment slots are used
- From model I we know the probabilities of using appointment slots:

$$
\pi_{d}(0), \ldots, \pi_{d}\left(k_{d}\right)
$$

- Evaluate day process for all realizations $=>L_{d}{ }^{j}$
- Result: expected number of patients leaving at day d

$$
L_{d}=\sum \pi_{d}(j) \cdot L_{d}^{j}
$$

## Algorithm



## Example

- Cycle Length
- Time slots per day
- Facility capacity
- Demand for appointments
- Patience of walk-ins
- Access time norm

2 days

8

1
$\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=2$

2 time slots
average <3 days

## Example





## Example




| Iteration | Planned | Shifted | BestCycle | Leaving | Total |  | du | le d | ay 1 |  |  |  |  | ch | du | e da |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(2,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(4,1)$ | (0.24, 0.88) | 1.12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |



## Example




| Iteration | Planned | Shifted | BestCycle | Leaving | Total | Schedule day 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule day 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(2,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(4,1)$ | (0.24, 0.88) | 1.12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | (2.24, 2.87 | (0.24, 0.8 | $(4,2)$ | (0.28, 0.98) | 1.26 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |



## Example




| Iteratio <br> 1 | Planned$(2,2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shifted } \\ & (0,0) \end{aligned}$ | BestCycle$(4,1)$ | Leaving$(0.24,0.88)$ | Total <br> 1.12 | Schedule day 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule day 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | (2.24, 2.87) | $(0.24,0.87)$ | $(4,2)$ | $(0.28,0.98)$ | 1.26 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | (2.28, 2.98$)$ | (0.28, 0.98$)$ | $(4,2)$ | (0.28, 0.98) | 1.26 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |



## To conclude

- Cyclic schedule that maximizes walk-ins seen same day
- Exponential service time, emergencies, no-shows and planned absence of server can be incorporated
- Tool by which management can evaluate trade-off
- Practice:
- Estimate expected walk-in pattern
- Constantly monitoring walk-in pattern
- Monitoring patience of walk-in patients




## Questions?

- CHOIR: Center for Healthcare Operations Improvement \& Research http://www.choir.utwente.nl
- Online Bibliography OR \& Health Care "ORchestra":
http://www.choir.utwente.nl/en/orchestra
- E-mail:
n.kortbeek@utwente.nl

