# On the Initial Transient Problem for Steady-State Simulation

Peter W. Glynn Stanford University

**Lunteren Conference** 

January 17th, 2007

# **Outline of Talk**

### 1. The Steady-State Simulation Problem

- What is it?
- Why is it relevant?
- Challenges
- 2. The Initial Transient Problem
  - Is it a serious problem?
  - When is it a serious problem?
- **3.** The Basic Approaches to the Initial Transient Problem
  - Simulating a stationary version
  - Identifying the initial transient period
  - Low Bias estimators

$$Y(t)$$
 = rate at which "reward"  
increases at time  $t$ 

Assume there exists a (deterministic) constant  $\alpha$  such that

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t Y(s)ds \Rightarrow \alpha$$

as  $t \to \infty$ .

#### **Goal:** Compute $\alpha$

$$Y(t) =$$
 number-in-system (in queue) at time t

$$Y(t) =$$
 work-in-process (in manufacturing system)  
at time  $t$ 

 $Y(t) = I(Q(t) \ge b)$ 

# Why the emphasis on steady-state simulation?

To compute 
$$\alpha(t, x) = E_x f(X(t))$$
:  
 $\alpha'(t) = A\alpha(t)$   
 $\alpha(0) = f$ 

To compute 
$$\alpha = \sum_{x} \pi(x) f(x)$$
:  
 $0 = \pi A$   
 $s/t \sum_{x} \pi(x) = 1$   
 $\alpha = \pi f$ 

#### Analytical and computational tractability

# **Modeling Issues**

(favoring use of a steady-state formulation)

- no need to specify time horizon
- no need to specify initial distribution
- appropriate in many applications

### **Steady-State Simulation: Challenge 1**

- ► The steady state mean α involves the "infinite time behavior" of (Y(s) : s ≥ 0)
- How do we compute α based on a finite-horizon simulation?
- Mathematically:  $E \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t Y(s) ds \neq \alpha$
- ► Conceptually: Initial condition is atypical of steady-state ↓ "initial transient" ↓ "initial bias"

# **Steady-State Simulation: Challenge 2**

# In view of Challenge 1, choose time horizon t large $\downarrow \downarrow$ One observation of process Y over [0, t] $\downarrow \downarrow$ How to compute an estimator for the variance of $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t Y(s) ds$ ?

# **A Connection between the Two Challenges**

$$E_{x}f(X(t)) = \alpha + a(x)e^{-\eta t}(1 + o(1))$$

and

$$cov(f(X^*(0)), f(X^*(t))) = E_{\pi}f_c(X(0))f_c(X(t))$$
  
=  $E_{\pi}f_c(X(0)) \cdot E[f_c(X(t))|X(0)]$   
=  $E_{\pi}f_c(X(0)) \cdot a(X(0))e^{-\eta t}(1+o(1))$ 

- used intensively
- doesn't work in presence of non–Markov processes

### **Today: We focus on Challenge 1**

# "The Initial Transient Problem"

### How Serious a problem is the Initial Transient?

For geometrically ergodic (Markov) processes,  $Y(t) \Rightarrow Y(\infty)$  as  $t \to \infty$  and  $EY(t) = EY(\infty) + O(e^{-\eta t})$ 

$$E \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t Y(s) ds - \alpha$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty \{E Y(s) - E Y(\infty)\} ds - \frac{1}{t} \int_t^\infty \{E Y(s) - E Y(\infty)\} ds$   
=  $b/t + O(e^{-\eta t})$   
Also,  $t^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t Y(s) ds - \alpha\right) \Rightarrow \sigma N(0, 1)$   
 $\operatorname{var} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t Y(s) ds\right) \sim \sigma^2/t$ 

# Conclusion

Initial bias effect is small relative to sampling variability

For most OR-related steady-state simulations, initial transient is not serious and can be ignored

Why: Any time-horizon large enough to make the sampling variability small effectively eliminates the effect of the initial transient.

parallel simulation

Iong-range dependent processes

multi-modal behavior / nearly decomposable systems

high dimensional systems

# **Parallel Simulation**



► MSE analysis:

**variance** 
$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t}Y_{i}(s)ds\right) \sim \frac{\sigma^{2}}{mt}$$
  
**bias**  $\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t}Y_{i}(s)ds\right) \sim \frac{b}{t}$ 

▶ If  $m \gg t$ , initial transient becomes dominant effect.

GH 90's

### Long-range dependence

► In the presence of long-range dependence,

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t Y(s)ds\right) \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{t^{2-2H}} \qquad (1/2 < H < 1)$$

How to allocate c units of computer time in single processor setting?

*m* independent replications of length c/m

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{c/m}\int_{0}^{c/m}Y_{i}(s)ds\right) \approx \frac{\sigma^{2}m^{1-2H}}{c^{2-2H}}$$

► Choose *m* large ...

# Same initial bias problem as in parallel simulation setting

# **Nearly Decomposable Systems**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Particularly challenging context ...
- Any statistically based "detection rule" can be fooled
- Arises in Markov chain Monte Carlo context
- Random re-start

# **High-Dimensional Systems**

$$\overrightarrow{Y}(t) = (Y_1(t), \dots, Y_d(t))$$
  
independent

$$||P(Y_i(t) \in \cdot) - P(Y_i(\infty) \in \cdot)||y \sim ce^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\|P(\overrightarrow{Y}(t) \in \cdot) - P(\overrightarrow{Y}(\infty) \in \cdot)\| \sim dce^{-\lambda t}$$
G05  
Slows down   
convergence rate...

# There are models for which initial transient is significant

# **3 Approaches to Dealing with the Initial Transient**

- Approach 1 Simulate a stationary version of Y exact simulation / perfect simulation
- Approach 2 Identify the initial transient interval [0, t]
- Approach 3 Modify the estimator so as to reduce the "initial bias" effect

### **Approach 1: Simulate a stationary version of** *Y*

$$Y(t) = f(X(t))$$

- Choose  $X(0) \sim \pi$
- **Don't know**  $\pi$ !
- Can we sample from π based on the ability to do a dynamic simulation of X?
- Exact/ perfect simulation

$$\pi(\cdot) = \frac{E \int_0^{\tau} I(X(s) \in \cdot) ds}{E \tau}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} P(X(s) \in \cdot | \tau > s) \frac{P(\tau > s) ds}{E \tau}$$

$$= P(X(Z) \in \cdot) \qquad \text{AGT 92}$$

$$Z \sim \frac{P(\tau > \cdot)}{E \tau}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Are there any interesting problem classes for which  $P(\tau > \cdot)/ \: E \: \tau$ 

is known, but simulating from  $\pi(\cdot)$  directly is hard?

▶ Yes ...

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

### A Statistically-based alternative

- **Simulate Markov process** X over [0, t]
- **Compute**  $\widehat{\pi}_t(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(X(s) = x) ds$
- Generate  $Z_t \sim \widehat{\pi}_t$  Put  $T_t = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X(s) = Z_t\}$

► Then,

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Approach 2:** Identify initial transient interval $[0, t_0]$

► Use analytic bounds to compute  $t_0$  for which  $||P(Y(t_0) \in \cdot) - P(Y(\infty) \in \cdot)|| < \varepsilon$ 

For many geometrically ergodic processes,

$$\mathbf{E}_{x} f(X(t)) = \alpha + a(x)e^{-\gamma t + i\theta t}(1 + o(1))$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ . Here,  $z = -\gamma + i\theta$  is second largest (in modulus) eigenvalue of A.

- For highly structured models, one can sometimes compute asymptotics for z (Diaconis)
- For reversible systems, one can compute analytic bounds on *z*
- For regenerative systems, one can obtain bounds on

$$||\mathbf{P}(Y(t) \in \cdot) - \mathbf{P}(Y(\infty) \in \cdot)||$$

# ► Use an approximating analytic model to identify $t_0$ : $Y(t) \stackrel{\mathscr{D}}{\approx} \widetilde{Y}(t)$

Single-server FIFO queue in "heavy-traffic":

$$Y(t) \stackrel{\mathscr{D}}{\approx} \operatorname{RBM}(t)$$
$$t_0 = c(1-\rho)^{-2}$$

For infinite-server queue in "heavy-traffic":

$$Y(t) \stackrel{\mathscr{D}}{\approx}$$
 Gaussian process  
 $t_0 \approx F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)$ 

### **Problems**

 good approximations hard to compute for most models (networks)

**Possible remedy:** 

- simulate simplified model first; determine  $t_0$  based on simulation
- then simulate real model
- note that time to stationarity t<sub>0</sub> depends on functional being computed

 $t_0$  for "number-in-system"  $\neq t_0$  for "buffer loss"

 $\gamma$  for "number-in-system"

 $\neq \widetilde{\gamma}$  for exponential moments of "number-in-system"

## **Statistically Based Methods**

Many proposals have been made

e.g. discard initial observations until the first one left is neither the maximum nor the minimum of the remaining observations (Conway 1963)

Problematic both in theory and in practice

# **A Basic Difficulty**

- In general, one has only one realization of the initial transient on which to base one's statistical analysis.
- Any rigorous rule needs to "model" the initial transient
  - e.g., autoregressive process

 $X_{n+1} = \rho X_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}$ 

### **Regenerative Processes**

$$a(t) = EY(t)$$
  
 $a = b + F * a$  (renewal eqn)

Solution is

$$a = U * b$$

If *b*, *F* are known, choose  $t_0$  such that  $|a(t_0) - a(\infty)| < \varepsilon$ 

If *b*, *F* are unknown, estimate these from cycles simulated:

$$\widehat{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(\tau_i \le t)$$
$$\widehat{b}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t)$$

**Choose**  $\hat{t}$  so that

$$|(\widehat{U}+\widehat{b})(\widehat{t})-(\widehat{U}+\widehat{b})(\infty)| - BG06, KG06$$

# **Approach 3: Low Bias Estimators**

Attempt 1

$$E\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t Y(s)ds = \alpha + \frac{b}{t} + O(e^{-\lambda t})$$

Estimate  $\alpha$ , b via a linear regression

Use  $\widehat{\alpha}$  from linear regression

Doesn't work ...

As for Approach 2, must "model" initial transient

# **Regenerative Processes**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$Y = (Y(s): 0 \le s \le T_n)$$
$$(\int_{T_{i-1}}^{T_i} Y(s)ds, T_i - T_{i-1}: 1 \le i \le n)$$
$$iid$$

- No initial transient on time scale of regenerative cycles
- Structure of initial transient highly dependent on time scale used

# How does initial bias manifest itself on time scale of regenerative cycles?

$$\alpha = \frac{E \int_{0}^{T_{1}} Y(s) ds}{E T_{1}} \triangleq \frac{E W}{E T}$$
$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{\overline{W}_{n}}{\overline{T}_{n}} = g(\overline{W}_{n}, \overline{T}_{n})$$
$$E\alpha_{n} \neq g(E\overline{W}_{n}, E\overline{T}_{n})$$
$$non-linearity bias$$

### **Solutions:**

► Taylor expand ... estimate  $\downarrow$   $E\alpha_n = \alpha - \frac{1}{n} \frac{E[(W - \alpha T)T]}{(ET)^2} + O(n^{-2})$ CL75

# On time scale of simulated time:

$$E\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t Y(s)ds = \alpha + \frac{b}{t} + O(e^{-\lambda t})$$

where

$$b = \frac{\mathrm{E}\int_0^{T_1} s[Y(s) - \alpha]ds}{\mathrm{E}T_1}$$

estimate from *Constant* cycles completed by *t* 

G 90

# **Typical Theoretical Analysis**

$$\operatorname{E} \widehat{\alpha}_L(t) = \alpha + o(1/\tau)$$
  
 $\operatorname{var} \widehat{\alpha}_L(t) \sim \operatorname{var} \widehat{\alpha}(t) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$ 

Hence,  $\widehat{\alpha}_L(t)$  is better...

# **A More Careful Analysis**

$$E \widehat{\alpha}(t) = \alpha + \frac{b}{t} + o(1/t)$$

$$E \widehat{\alpha}_L(t) = \alpha + o(1/t)$$

$$var \widehat{\alpha}(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{t} + \frac{c_1}{t^2} + o(1/t^2)$$

$$var \widehat{\alpha}_L(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{t} + \frac{c_2}{t^2} + o(1/t^2)$$

$$MSE(\widehat{\alpha}(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{t} + \frac{c_1 + b^2}{t^2} + o(1/t^2)$$

$$MSE(\widehat{\alpha}_L(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{t} + \frac{c_2}{t^2} + o(1/t^2)$$

Need to check that  $c_2 < c_1 + b^2$  in single replication setting; When a large number of processors are used,  $\alpha_L(t)$ is clearly better A+G 07

# Conclusions

- There are problems in which initial transient can be a serious issue
- There are rigorously supported methods for reducing the effect of the initial transient even in single replication setting!
- Ideas apply even in nonstationary settings, e.g., when to initialize simulations focused on "rush hours"