

# How many cuttings to cut from a mother plant?

Combining Linear Programming and Data Mining



Han Hoogeveen, Utrecht University

Joint work with Jakub Tomczyk and Tom van der Zanden

- “New” company: merger of 67 companies.
- Largest producer of cuttings (stekken) in the world.
- Over 1.4 billion cuttings sold each year; market share 8%.
- Production sites all over the world.
- 4500 varieties; 57 crops.
- Presented a problem at ‘Mathematics with Industry’.

# Problem description

- Mother plants are planted some months before harvesting; cuttings are cut from these mother plants weekly.
- The number of mother plants to plant is based on forecasts; you must plant enough to fulfil the forecasts for the demand.
- For each variety, the majority of sales takes place in the 'peak weeks', which is a period of approximately 10 weeks. We use  $T$  to denote the number of weeks here.
- For each week you have to decide how many cuttings to cut from the mother plants.
- The number of cuttings that can be cut from a mother plant depends (in some way) on the number of cuttings that have been cut in previous weeks.



## Problem description

- Example: Can obtain (for instance) 2 cuttings per week per plant.
- Problem: can not consistently obtain 2 cuttings, goes down after a few weeks.
- Currently: plant  $0.5 \times$  peak demand + 10% “buffer”.
- Forecast: 80.000 plants/week in peak  $\rightarrow$  plant 44.000 mother plants.
- Pressure from sales to offer more (from the buffer) for sale  $\rightarrow$  may not have enough later.

# Research questions

- 1 Model how the number of cuttings produced goes down as more cuttings are taken.
  - 2 Determine how many mother plants should be planted to meet predicted demand.
  - 3 Determine how many cuttings to offer for sale in each week (and thus how many to cut).
- Currently, we only look at one variety of plant in isolation and use a deterministic model (we ignore random disturbances).
  - Guidance by domain expert; some data available
  - Available data: 160 numbers (10 years, 16 weeks, average harvest per mother plant)

## LP approach (How many mother plants at least)

- Since the current decisions depend on earlier decisions, we work with feasible *cutting patterns*.
- A cutting pattern tells you how many cuttings to cut on average from a mother plant in each week; this number can be fractional. For example (2.0; 1.8; 1.9; 1.7; 2.0; ...).
- If the domain expert has a list with all  $N$  feasible cutting patterns that we can use, then ...

... we can solve the problem of finding the minimum number of mother plants by formulating it as a **Linear Programming** problem. Remark that we have to meet the forecasts.

# LP formulation

- Define  $x_j$  as the number of mother plants that are cut according to cutting pattern  $j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- Define  $a_{jt}$  as the number of cuttings that are obtained in week  $t$  ( $t = 1, \dots, T$ ), when one mother plant is cut according to cutting pattern  $j$ .
- Define  $b_t$  as the forecasted demand in week  $t$ .

## LP formulation (2)

- LP formulation

$$\min M = x_1 + \dots + x_N$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_{jt} x_j \geq b_t \quad \forall t$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j$$

- The solution of this LP program gives you a lower bound to the number of mother plants that have to be planted. The company can decide to add more (for example to build in some safety margin).
- Unfortunately, the domain expert did not have a list of feasible cutting patterns available.



## Next attempt

- This linear programming formulation looks a lot like the one to solve the **Cutting Stock** problem (find the minimum number of bars to cut a number of pieces with given length).
- In Cutting Stock we work with cutting patterns as well; they indicate how many pieces of each length to cut from a bar.
- Cutting Stock is solved using **column generation**: let's try that here.
- Major difference: for Cutting Stock it is clear when a cutting pattern is feasible. For the flower cutting problem the constraints to decide whether a cutting pattern is feasible are unknown (this was one of the research questions).

## Find the unknown constraints

- Use the data that we have to find these constraints (and have these confirmed by the experts).
- We have data specifying the average number of cuttings taken in week  $t$  ( $t = 1, \dots, T$ ) per year; we assume that these are related to what is actually possible.
- Use **data mining** (or data search) to search for constraints that are satisfied by all feasible cutting patterns; to specify a cutting pattern we must specify the numbers  $(a_1, \dots, a_T)$ .
- For example: infer constraints  $a_t \leq C_1 \quad \forall t$  by determining  $C_1$  as the maximum over all  $a_t$  found in the data.
- Similarly,  $a_t + a_{t+1} \leq C_2$ : find  $C_2$  as the maximum value of two consecutive weeks, etc.

## Example constraints from data mining

$$a_t \leq 2$$

$$a_t + a_{t+1} \leq 3, 9$$

$$a_t + a_{t+2} \leq 3, 85$$

$$a_t + a_{t+3} \leq 3, 9$$

$$a_t + a_{t+1} + a_{t+2} \leq 5, 75$$

$$a_t + a_{t+1} + a_{t+3} + a_{t+4} + a_{t+5} + a_{t+6} \leq 10, 9$$

$$a_t + a_{t+6} + a_{t+7} + a_{t+8} + a_{t+9} + a_{t+10} \leq 10, 5$$

$$a_t + a_{t+1} + a_{t+4} \leq 5, 71$$

$$a_t + a_{t+3} + a_{t+4} + a_{t+5} \leq 7, 4$$

$$a_t + a_{t+3} + a_{t+5} + a_{t+6} \leq 7, 41$$

$$a_t + a_{t+4} + a_{t+5} + a_{t+6} \leq 7, 41$$

$$a_t + a_{t+5} + a_{t+6} + a_{t+7} \leq 7$$

## Back to column generation

- We can formulate the pricing problem then as: find the best cuttern pattern  $(a_1, \dots, a_T)$  that satisfies the constraints determined using data mining.
- Since the constraints are linear, this is an LP problem (again).
- We can use Constraint Satisfaction to solve the pricing problem in case of non-linear complicated constraints.
- **Useful Observation**  
In case the feasibility of a cutting pattern is described using linear constraints only, then we do not need column generation to solve the problems!

# Convex combination of patterns

- Suppose that the cutting patterns  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N$  satisfy the linear constraints, where  $\mathbf{a}_j = (\mathbf{a}_{j1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{jT})$ .
- Take any set of non-negative real values  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 1$ .
- Define cutting pattern  $\mathbf{C}$  as the convex combination of the cutting patterns  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N$ :

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \mathbf{a}_j$$

- Then  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the linear constraints and is a feasible cutting pattern.

# Computing number of mother plants (1)

- Define  $x_j$  as the number of mother plants that are cut according to cutting pattern  $j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- Define  $b_t$  as the forecasted demand in week  $t$ .
- LP formulation

$$\min M = x_1 + \dots + x_N$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N a_{jt} x_j &\geq b_t \quad \forall t \\ x_j &\geq 0 \quad \forall j \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

*Let  $x^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  denote an optimal solution. Then there exists an equivalent solution in which we use only 1 cutting pattern  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_T)$*

- Here: put  $\lambda_j = x_j^*/M \implies MC_t = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{jt} x_j^*$ .

## Computing number of mother plants (2)

- Observation: If you want to produce  $b_t$  cuttings in week  $t$  using one cutting pattern only, then you should cut  $a_t = b_t/M$  cuttings on average.
- Determine  $M$  such that  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_T)$ , where  $a_t = b_t/M$ , corresponds to a feasible cutting pattern.
- Satisfying for example constraint  $a_t + a_{t+1} \leq 3,9$  implies that  $\frac{b_t}{M} + \frac{b_{t+1}}{M} \leq 3,9$ .
- Working things out yields the constraint

$$M \geq \frac{b_t + b_{t+1}}{3,9}.$$

- Each constraint from data mining yields a lower bound on  $M$ ; put  $M$  equal to the maximum of these bounds.

# Optimize sales income

- The number of mother plants  $M$  is a decision variable; cost per mother plant is  $c_M$ .
- Again,  $x_j$  denotes the number of mother plants cut according to pattern  $j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ).
- We assume that for each week we know how many cuttings we can sell additionally; call this  $AD_t$ .
- Define decision variables  $y_t$  as additional sales realized in week  $t$ .
- Define  $p_t$  as profit of selling additional cuttings in week  $t$  (refinements are possible).
- The problem can be formulated as an LP again.



$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_t p_t y_t - M c_M \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^N a_{jt} x_j - y_t \geq b_t \quad \forall t \\ & \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \leq M \\ & 0 \leq y_t \leq A D_t \quad \forall t \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j \end{aligned}$$

- Again, in the optimal solution we need only one cutting pattern.

# Reformulation of the LP

- Define  $z_t$  as the number of cuttings produced in week  $t$ .
- Since we only need one cutting pattern,  $a_t = z_t/M$ . This yields the LP:

$$\max \sum_t p_t(z_t - b_t) - M C_M$$

subject to

$$b_t \leq z_t \leq b_t + A D_t \quad \forall t$$

'the variables  $a_t = z_t/M$  form a feasible cutting pattern'

- $z_t/M$  is not linear; rewrite the constraints.
- For example:  $a_t + a_{t+1} \leq 3, 9$ ; multiply with  $M$ .
- This yields the constraint  $z_t + z_{t+1} \leq 3, 9M \implies$  LP-again.

- More varieties of plants: just extend the LP.
- Uncertainty in growth of mother plants: work with scenarios (use information from historical data).

- Having just a few data may make a huge difference.
- Using data mining to describe an unknown part of the model is a neat trick (which we have not seen being used before).
- If linear constraints suffice, then sometimes you can simplify the model a lot.