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## The Assortment Optimization Problem

Multinomial logit model：
米 Choice model：Luce（＇59），Plackett（＇75）
＊Optimization：Talluri \＆van Ryzin（＇04），Rusmevichientong et al．（＇10） and Davis et al．（＇13）for cardinality constraints
Markov chain model：
粦 Choice model：Zhang \＆Cooper（＇05），Blanchet et al．（＇16）， Simsek \＆Topaloglu（＇18）for estimation
粦 Optimization：Blanchet et al．（＇16），Feldman \＆Topaloglu（＇17）， Désir et al．（＇20）for cardinality constraints
类 Preference ranking model：
米 Choice model：Farias et al．（＇13），van Ryzin \＆Volcano（＇15，＇17）
粦 Optimization：Honhon et al．（＇12），Aouad et al．（＇18，＇21）， Paul et al．（＇18），Bertsimas \＆Mišić（＇19）

# The Assortment Optimization Problem 



# The Assortment Optimization Problem 



## The Assortment Optimization Problem



The bias-variance tradeoff in choice models:
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MNL model

small variance


## Uncertainty
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The bias-variance tradeoff in choice models:


## underfitting

## overfitting

Combining estimation with optimization amplifies errors:

"Post-decision disappointment"

## "error-maximization effect of optimization"

Michaud (1989), Financial Analysts Journal 45(1):31-42. Smith \& Winkler (2006), Management Science 52(3):311-322.

The robust optimization paradigm to combat estimation errors:
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## Uncertainty

The robust optimization paradigm to combat estimation errors:


uncertainty set estimation
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## The Robust Assortment Optimization Problem

## £3.25 worst-case expected profit



£3 profit

£10 profit

worst-case:
25\% : 75\%
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## The Robust Assortment Optimization Problem

## Multinomial logit model：

类 Rusmevichientong \＆Topaloglu（＇12）solve robust assortment optimization problem under uncertain product valuations； revenue－ordered assortments remain optimal
Markov chain model：
粦 Désir et al．（＇21）use robust MDP－type algorithms to solve robust assortment optimization problem under uncertain arrival rates and transition probabilities
＊Preference ranking model：
粦 Farias et al．（＇13）estimate worst－case revenues for fixed assortment under uncertain preference distributions
＊Bertsimas \＆Mišić（＇17）solve robust assortment optimization problem under uncertain preference distributions
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## The Randomized Robus $\ddagger$ Assortment Optimization Problem
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Why does randomization help?
(3) "Managerial" Interpretation:


周 $p_{1}$


## The Randomized Robust Assortment Optimization Problem

## Why does randomization help?

## 3 "Managerial" Interpretation:



Fof $p_{1}$


Fon $p_{2}$

randomization $=$ diversification



But divide your investments among many places, for you do not know what risks might lie ahead.
(Book of Ecclesiastes)


My ventures are not in one bottom trusted, Nor to one place; nor is my whole estate Upon the fortune of this present year:
Therefore, my merchandise makes me not sad.
(Merchant of Venice)

When does randomization improve the worst-case profit?
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When does randomization improve the worst-case profit?
2.

Is in-sample improvement = out-of-sample improvement?

How can we compute optimal randomized assortments?
4. How can we implement optimal randomized assortments?

Implementing Randomized Assortments
(2) When Does Randomization Help?
(3) Computing Randomized Assortments

4 Numerical Experiments

## Implementation: The E-Commerce Setting

Randomization across different users:


Each user's experience can be kept consistent via cookies.

## Implementation: The Brick-and-Mortar Setting

Randomization across retail stores:


Possible for larger chains, not suitable for individual stores.

Computing Randomized Assortments
(4) Numerical Experiments

## （Nominal）Assortment optimization problem：（ $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{C}, \boldsymbol{r})$ where

米 $\mathcal{N}=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ ：set of products
＊ $\mathcal{S} \subseteq\{S: S \subseteq \mathcal{N}\}$ ：set of admissible assortments
缕 $\mathfrak{C}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}\right)$ ：choice model； $\mathfrak{C}(i \mid S)=0$ if $i \notin S$
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$$

$$
\text { where } R(S)=\sum_{i \in S} r_{i} \cdot \mathfrak{S}(i \mid S)
$$
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米 $\mathscr{U}$ : uncertainty set

* $\mathfrak{G}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathscr{U} \rightarrow \Delta\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}\right)$ : choice model; $\mathfrak{G}(i \mid S, u)=0$ if $i \notin S$

$$
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## Randomized Assortment optimization problem:

$$
R_{\text {rand }}^{\star}(\mathscr{U})=\max _{p \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})} \min _{u \in \mathscr{U}} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} p_{S} \cdot R(S, u)
$$

rand.-receptive otherwise

## Popular Choice Models
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## Randomized Assortment optimization problem:



Robust optimization problem with two challenges:
exponentially many decision variables
2.
(typically) hard-to-evaluate objective function

## Two-Layer Primal-Dual Solution Approach

The Randomized RO problem satisfies the following strong duality:
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Theorem: Finite $\varepsilon$-convergence to optimal $\left(p^{\star}, \kappa^{\star}\right)$.
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## Two-Layer Primal-Dual Solution Approach

Inner layer: solve restricted primal

$$
\max _{p \in \Delta(\hat{\delta})} \min _{u \in \mathscr{U}} \sum_{S \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}} p_{S} \cdot R(S, u) \text { with } \hat{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \text { "small" }
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(1) set $\mathrm{LB}=-\infty$ and $U B=+\infty$; choose any $p \in \Delta(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$
(2) while LB < UB:
(a) solve the evaluation problem

\[

\]

(b) solve the optimization problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{p \in \Delta(\hat{\delta})} \min _{u \in \hat{\mathscr{H}}} \sum_{S \in \hat{\delta}} p_{S} \cdot R(S, u) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{UB} \leftarrow \min \{\mathrm{UB}, \mathrm{obj}\} \\
\Longleftrightarrow p \leftarrow p^{\star}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Whandoconanciomization Holp?
(3) Gemputing Dondemived Acoertments

## In-Sample = Out-of-Sample?

## Data-driven experiment for MNL model:

粦 random MNL instances with 10 products

* purchase samples for random assortments under true model
* MLE estimation (with budget uncertainty set for robust approaches)
cardinality 1
cardinality 2
cardinality 3
cardinality 4
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